

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JOLENE R. STINSON,

Case No.: 3:19-cv-00334-LRH-WGC

Plaintiff

Order

V.

Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1

ANDREW SAUL,
Commissioner of Social
Security

Defendant

10 Before the court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) and
11 complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

I. APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

13 A person may be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) if the person
14 "submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that the
15 person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of
16 the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress." 28 U.S.C.
17 § 1915(a)(1); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (stating that 28 U.S.C.
18 § 1915 applies to all actions filed IFP, not just prisoner actions).

In addition, the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Nevada provide: "Any person who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case may apply to the court for authority to proceed [IFP]. The application must be made on the form provided by the court and must include a financial affidavit disclosing the applicant's income, assets, expenses, and liabilities." LSR 1-1.

1 “[T]he supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to [the] affiant’s poverty with some
2 particularity, definiteness and certainty.”” *U.S. v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981)
3 (quoting *Jefferson v. United States*, 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)). A litigant need not “be
4 absolutely destitute to enjoy the benefits of the statute.” *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.*,
5 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

6 A review of the application to proceed IFP reveals Plaintiff cannot pay the filing fee;
7 therefore, the application is granted.

II. SCREENING

9 “[T]he court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that-- (A) the
10 allegation of poverty is untrue; or (B) the action or appeal-- (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails
11 to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant
12 who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B)(i)-(iii).

13 Dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted is
14 provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) tracks
15 that language. As such, when reviewing the adequacy of a complaint under this statute, the court
16 applies the same standard as is applied under Rule 12(b)(6). *See e.g. Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d
17 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012) (“The standard for determining whether a plaintiff has failed to state a
18 claim upon which relief can be granted under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) is the same as the Federal Rule
19 of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) standard for failure to state a claim.”). Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is
20 essentially a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Lab. Corp. of America*, 232 F.3d 719, 723
21 (9th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted).

22 The court must accept as true the allegations, construe the pleadings in the light most
23 favorable to the plaintiff, and resolve all doubts in the plaintiff's favor. *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395

1 U.S. 411, 421 (1969) (citations omitted). Allegations in pro se complaints are “held to less stringent
2 standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers[.]” *Hughes v. Rowe*, 449 U.S. 5, 9 (1980)
3 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

4 A complaint must contain more than a “formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of
5 action,” it must contain factual allegations sufficient to “raise a right to relief above the speculative
6 level.” *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). “The pleading must contain
7 something more ... than ... a statement of facts that merely creates a suspicion [of] a legally
8 cognizable right of action.” *Id.* (citation and quotation marks omitted). At a minimum, a plaintiff
9 should include “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Id.* at 570; *see also Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009).

11 A dismissal should not be without leave to amend unless it is clear from the face of the
12 complaint that the action is frivolous and could not be amended to state a federal claim, or the
13 district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the action. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d
14 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995); *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990).

15 Plaintiff's complaint names Andrew Saul, Commissioner of Social Security, and requests
16 review of the Commissioner's final decision. (ECF No. 1-1.)

17 Federal courts have sole jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of the Social Security
18 Administration's determination in this regard. *See 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)*. Upon a review of Plaintiff's
19 complaint, it appears administrative remedies have been exhausted with the Social Security
20 Administration. Therefore, Plaintiff's complaint shall proceed.

21 **III. CONCLUSION**

22 (1) Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP (ECF No. 1) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff is permitted
23 to maintain this action without the necessity of prepayment of fees or costs or the giving of security

1 therefor. This order granting IFP status does not extend to the issuance of subpoenas at government
2 expense.

3 (2) The complaint shall **PROCEED**. The Clerk is instructed to **FILE** the complaint (ECF
4 No. 1-1).

5 (3) The Clerk shall **SERVE** the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration by
6 sending a copy of the summons and complaint by certified mail to: (1) Office of the Regional Chief
7 Counsel, Region IX, Social Security Administration, 160 Spear St., Suite 899, San Francisco, CA
8 94105-1545; and (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice, 950
9 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Room 4400, Washington, D.C., 20530.

10 (4) The Clerk shall **ISSUE** a summons to the United States Attorney for the District of
11 Nevada and deliver the summons and a copy of the complaint to the U.S. Marshal for service to
12 the U.S. Attorney's Office at 400 S. Virginia Street, Suite 900, Reno, Nevada 89501.

13 (5) From now on, Plaintiff, or counsel, shall serve upon the defendant, or the defendant's
14 attorney, a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for consideration by the
15 court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the
16 date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to defendant or defendant's counsel.
17 The court may disregard any paper received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or clerk which
18 fails to include a certificate of service.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated: July 19, 2019.

21 *William G. Cobb*
22 William G. Cobb
23 United States Magistrate Judge